IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 12672 of 2004

Between:

1 Edigan Ramchandra, S/o.Obanna,
R/o.Bathalapalli (V), Puttaparthy (M), Anantapur Dist.
2 Veede Nanjappa, S/o.Nanjappa,
R/o.Bathalapalli (V), Puttaparthy (M), Anantapur Dist.
3 Salamma, W/o.Venkatesulu,
R/o.Bathalapalli (V), Puttaparthy (M), Anantapur Dist.

..... PETITIONER(S)

AND

1 The Chief Commissioner of Land-Administrative Authority, Nampally, Hyderabad.
2 The Joint Collector, Anantapur Dist., Ananthapur.
3 The Revenue Divisional officer, Penugonda, Anantapur Dist.
4 The Mandal Revenue officer, Puttaparthy, Anantapur Dist.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue any appropriate writ more particularly issue writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent to dispose off the Revision filed by the petitioner in BCW1/285/2004, dt. 26-6-2004, by expeditiously, and pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble Court feels deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.KOTHAPALLI RAM MOHAN CHOWDARY

Counsel for Respondents: The Government Pleader for Revenue

The Court at the stage of admission made the following Order:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 12672 of 2004

ORDER:

Against the order passed by the second respondent in D.DIS.No.E2/3891/2002, dated 15-05-2004 for cancellation of the assignment, petitioner filed a revision before the first respondent. The petitioner has filed the present writ petition complaining that the revisional authority has not disposing the revision filed by him.

The petitioner hastily approached this Court complaining that the revisional authority is not taking any steps to dispose of the revision. Once a revision is filed by the petitioner, the revisional authority will issue notices to the parties to the revision and only after issuing such notices, it considers the revision and dispose of the same.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is still in possession of the property. In view of the same, his possession should not be disturbed. But the findings as recorded by the primary authority for cancellation of the assignment as well as the appellate authority are contrary to the submission, since there is a specific finding that the respondents are cultivating the land in question.

In view of the same, no further direction can be issued.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed at the stage of admission.

_____

21-07-2004

kdl

To

1 The Chief Commissioner of Land-Administrative Authority, Nampally, Hyderabad.
2 The Joint Collector, Anantapur Dist., Ananthapur.
3 The Revenue Divisional officer, Penugonda, Anantapur Dist.
4 The Mandal Revenue officer, Puttaparthy, Anantapur Dist.

5 Two C.Cs. to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court Buildings,

A.P., Hyderabad. (OUT).

6 Two C.D. Copies.